



Nelson Hygaard

Purpose of the Study

Maximizing Mobility Options – a toolkit of strategies that will increase transit ridership through non-traditional programs and services that will be convenient, flexible, viable, and sustainable.

Implementation of the strategies recommended in this study will:

- Give people more transportation options to get out of their cars
- Provide an incentive for households to forgo a second (or third) car
- Help the City and LA Region achieve <u>SB 375</u> standards, both in terms of emissions and VMT reduction.







Fighting Climate Change through Transportation Planning

- Assembly Bill 32 ("The Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006") requires that by the year 2020, each state will reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 25% under business-as-usual estimates.
- Senate Bill 375 builds on AB 32 by curbing sprawl and compelling local planning agencies to make planning choices that reduce Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT).









Overview of Study Process

- Matrix of Existing Transit Alternatives baseline of existing alternative transportation services.
- Initial 13 strategies identified comprehensive menu of strategies to link first mile/last mile of transit when the distance or geography inhibits walking or other traditional means of access.
- Preliminary Evaluation Process Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and consultant team prioritized and ranked the strategies to focus on those that have not been previously studied and researched.



Nelson Nygaard

Overview of Study Process

Evaluation Criteria:

- Capital and operating costs
- Ease of Implementation
- Addresses first mile/last mile gap
- Potential for attracting "choice" riders
- Market potential in LA
- Already operational in LA (does not need jump-start to expand)
- Innovative/new strategy
- Potential for public/private partnership
- Couldn't happen on it's own/needs a champion



Overview of Study Process

- Final 6 strategies developed a consensus list of strategies that were evaluated in greater detail:
 - Casual Carpool
 - Taxis
 - Car-sharing
 - Short-term Car Rental
 - Folding Bikes on Transit
 - Bicycle Sharing Program



Casual Carpooling

Casual carpooling - ridesharing is not established in advance but coordinated "on the spot."

Benefits

- Maximizes travel flexibility and requires minimal advance planning
- Accommodates occasional and unscheduled trips
- Eliminates barriers to traditional carpooling.

Expansion Potential in Los Angeles

- Pick-up or drop-off locations can be located near transit and high density locations
- Los Angeles has an extensive network of HOV lanes, which provides the travel time savings incentive to encourage casual carpooling
- This strategy will be leveraged for HOT lanes and pending congestion pricing trial which provides a financial incentive for casual carpool







Heison Hygaard

Taxis

Taxis - provide on-demand door-to-door travel and are best for short-distance trips.

Benefits

- Convenience and door-to-door flexibility
- No advance reservation (hail-a-taxi)
- Enhances access to and from transit stations
- Provides a service to those who may have no other form of transportation.

Expansion Potential in Los Angeles

- Expansion of downtown Hail-a-Taxi pilot program
- Integrated transit-taxi fare payment, potentially using LA Metro TAP "smart card" technology
- Development of a "taxi pool" system to allow passengers with similar origins/destinations to "share the fare"









Nelson Nygaard

Car-sharing

Car-sharing - allows on-demand access to a shared fleet of vehicles on an as-needed basis.

Benefits

- Reduces the need for businesses or households to own their own vehicles
- Reduces personal transportation costs and VMT
- 11%-26% of car-sharing participants sold a personal vehicle

Expansion Potential in Los Angeles

- Continue/expand existing ZipCar pilot program
- Expand number of car-share locations
- Require/incentivize subsidized memberships for employees and residents
- Convert all or part of City fleet to car-sharing









Nelson Hygaard

Short-term Car Rental

Short-term rentals - similar to car-sharing; cars are rented by the hour through car-rental companies

Benefits

Same as car-sharing

Expansion Potential in Los Angeles

- Downtown Los Angeles could be a key initial target
- Partner with major firms downtown or with owners of large buildings
- Offer incentives and require large employers to subsidize employee hourly rentals
- Lead implementers: major car rental companies
- Supporting implementers: city government, private companies, and transit agencies







Folding Bikes on Transit

Bikes on Transit – combination of bicycling and transit can provide a high level of mobility.

Benefits

- Bridges the first/last mile gap
- Attracts new users to transit
- Extends the service area of transit stations
- Eliminates transfers between transit lines
- Reduces VMT

Expansion Potential in Los Angeles

- Currently allowed on Metro Rail, Metro Buses and LADOT buses (Commuter Express and DASH)
- Can be implemented without requiring additional space, infrastructure, or policy change.
- LA Metro is currently undertaking a study of subsidized folding bike program to enhance transit ridership









Maximizing

Mobility Options

ASSOCIATION of GOVERNMENTS

Bicycle Sharing Programs

Bike sharing – gives people access to a shared fleet of bicycles on an as-needed basis and is especially convenient for short trips

Benefits

- Improves mobility options
- Increases cycling and health benefits
- Reduces drive-alone trips/VMT.

Expansion Potential in Los Angeles

- Year-round bicycling friendly climate, public-private partnership, potential for TAP/smart card integration
- May need to modify existing codes or policies to allow for bicycle sharing pods to be located on City property
- Added benefit would be from continuous bike network











Phased Implementation plan

Marketing Opportunities

- Traditional methods (e.g. paid advertising)
- Non-traditional methods (social networking sites)
- Employer based versus non-employer based
- Personalized/targeted marketing campaigns

Funding Opportunities

- Start-up / demonstration funds
- Capital funding vs. on-going operations
- Cost sharing strategies (e.g. private-public partnerships)
- Opportunities for longer-term self-support/ sustainability

Implementation Considerations

- Short-term vs. longer-term implementation
- Potential lead agencies/champions
- Regulatory requirements /changes
- Combining strategies to get "bigger bang for the buck"



Next Steps

- Incorporate feedback heard today
- Issue Finalize report
- Pursue early action implementation items
- Questions and discussion?



Thank you

Linda Rhine
Principal Irhine@nelsonnygaard.com
www.nelsonnygaard.com

Jessica Meaney, SCAG Transportation Planner meaney@scag.ca.gov

Jane Choi, City of Los Angeles Planner
Jane.choi@lacity.org

